

Table of Contents

WLCG-OSG-EGEE Ops Meeting Minutes and Action Items.....	1
Mailing List.....	1
Upcoming Meetings.....	1
Minutes for Meetings Modified In Last 20 Days.....	2
Minutes for Meetings Not Modified In Last 20 Days.....	3
Open Action Items from Operations Meeting.....	9
Closed Action Items from Operations Meeting.....	10
Creation of New Minute Skeleton from Template.....	29
Page settings.....	30

WLCG-OSG-EGEE Ops Meeting Minutes and Action Items

Agendas for all operations meetings are located in Indico [↗](#).

Mailing List

Attendees of the meeting should join. [grid-operations-meeting@cernNOSPAMPLEASE.ch](mailto:grid-operations-meeting@cern.ch)

Upcoming Meetings

- Indico generated iCal feed of meetings: <http://indico.cern.ch/categoryDisplay.py/ical?categId=258> [↗](#)
- Indico generated HTML of meetings: <http://indico.cern.ch/categoryDisplay.py?categId=258> [↗](#)

Minutes for Meetings Modified In Last 20 Days

Agenda	Date	Minutes	Taker	Chair	Last Edit
--------	------	---------	-------	-------	-----------

Minutes for Meetings Not Modified In Last 20 Days

Agenda	Date	Minutes	Taker	Chair	Last Edit
88204	Mon 15 Mar 2010	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2010x03x15	AntonioRetico	NicholasThackray	3672 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
87567	Mon 08 Mar 2010	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2010x03x08	AntonioRetico	NicholasThackray	3682 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
86972	Mon 01 Mar 2010	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2010x03x01	AntonioRetico	NicholasThackray	3689 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
85275	Wed 15 Feb 2010	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2010x02x15	NicholasThackray	MaiteBarroso	3701 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
84350	Mon 08 Feb 2010	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2010x02x08	MaiteBarroso	AntonioRetico	3689 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
82497	Mon 25 Jan 2010	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2010x01x25	NicholasThackray	MaiteBarroso	3710 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
81101	Mon 18 Jan 2010	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2010x01x18	MaiteBarroso	NicholasThackray	3729 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
76178	Mon 07 Dec 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x12x07	MaiteBarroso	JohnShade	3773 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
75507	Mon 30 Nov 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x11x30	DianaBosio	SteveTraylen	3780 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
74987	Wed 23 Nov 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x11x23	AntonioRetico	SteveTraylen	3784 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
74371	Mon 16 Nov 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x11x16	MaiteBarroso	JohnShade	3792 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
73715	Mon 09 Nov 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x11x09	SteveTraylen	MaiteBarroso	3801 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
72954	Mon 02 Nov 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x11x02	MaiteBarroso	JohnShade	3806 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
72091	Mon 26 Oct 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x10x26	DianaBosio	MaiteBarroso	3814 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
71400	Mon 19 Oct 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x10x19	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	3822 day(s) ago by JohnShade
70781	Mon 12 Oct 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x10x12	MaiteBarroso	JohnShade	3823 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
70007		WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x10x05	DianaBosio	SteveTraylen	

WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

	Mon 05 Oct 2009				3823 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
69189 ↗	Mon 28 Sep 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x09x28	NicholasThackray	JohnShade	3840 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
68261 ↗	Wed 16 Sep 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x09x14	MaiteBarroso	DianaBosio	3855 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
67858 ↗	Mon 07 Sep 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x09x07	NicholasThackray	SteveTraylen	3841 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
67352 ↗	Mon 31 Aug 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x08x31	SteveTraylen	MaiteBarroso	3864 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
66812 ↗	Mon 24 Aug 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x08x25	MaiteBarroso	JohnShade	3815 day(s) ago by UnknownUser
65727 ↗	Mon 03 Aug 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x08x03	AntonioRetico	SteveTraylen	3899 day(s) ago by AntonioRetico
65239 ↗	Mon 27 Jul 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x07x27	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	3906 day(s) ago by JohnShade
64824 ↗	Mon 20 Jul 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x07x20	SteveTraylen	MaiteBarroso	3910 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
64288 ↗	Mon 13 Jul 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x07x13	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	3878 day(s) ago by JohnShade
63913 ↗	Tue 07 Jul 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x07x06	MaiteBarroso	JohnShade	3872 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
63150 ↗	Mon 29 Jun 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x06x29	JohnShade	DianaBosio	3933 day(s) ago by JohnShade
62665 ↗	Mon 22 Jun 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x06x22	DianaBosio	NicholasThackray	3941 day(s) ago by DianaBosio
61238 ↗	Mon 08 Jun 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x06x08	DianaBosio	AntonioRetico	3948 day(s) ago by DianaBosio
59253 ↗	Mon 18 May 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x05x18	NicholasThackray	NicholasThackray	3973 day(s) ago by NickThackray
58811 ↗	Mon 11 May 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x05x11	DianaBosio	JohnShade	3981 day(s) ago by DianaBosio
58154 ↗	Tue 05 May 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x05x04	MaiteBarroso	NicholasThackray	3989 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
57797 ↗	Mon 27 Apr	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x04x27	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	3996 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen

WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

	2009				
57117	Mon 20 Apr 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x04x20	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	3989 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
56344	Mon 06 Apr 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x04x06	DianaBosio	NicholasThackray	3973 day(s) ago by NickThackray
55792	Mon 30 Mar 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x03x30	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4025 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
55286	Mon 23 Mar 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x03x23	JohnShade	MaiteBarroso	4005 day(s) ago by JohnShade
54797	Mon 16 Mar 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x03x16	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4032 day(s) ago by JohnShade
53678	Mon 09 Mar 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x03x09	AntonioRetico	JohnShade	4038 day(s) ago by JohnShade
53672	Mon 02 Mar 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x03x02	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4053 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
53064	Mon 23 Feb 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x02x23	NicholasThackray	MaiteBarroso	4046 day(s) ago by AntonioRetico
52812	Mon 16 Feb 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x02x16	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4046 day(s) ago by AntonioRetico
52457	Mon 09 Feb 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x02x09	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4072 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
51947	Mon 02 Feb 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x02x02	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	4057 day(s) ago by NickThackray
51058	Mon 26 Jan 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x01x26	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4072 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
49102	Mon 19 Jan 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x01x19	JohnShade	MaiteBarroso	4094 day(s) ago by JohnShade
48841	Mon 12 Jan 2009	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2009x01x12	MaiteBarroso	NicholasThackray	4053 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
43734	Mon 08 Dec 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x12x08	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4137 day(s) ago by JohnShade
43733	Mon 01 Dec 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x12x01	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	4144 day(s) ago by JohnShade
43732	Mon 24 Nov 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x11x24	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4144 day(s) ago by JohnShade
43731		WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x11x17	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	

WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

	Mon17 Nov 2008				4156 day(s) ago by JohnShade
43730	Tue 11 Nov 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x11x10	MariaDimou	NicholasThackray	4149 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
43729	Thu 03 Nov 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x11x03	NicholasThackray	JohnShade	4169 day(s) ago by NickThackray
43701	Mon 27 Oct 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x10x27	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4156 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
43700	Mon 20 Oct 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x10x20	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	4157 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
43122	Mon 13 Oct 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x10x13	NicholasThackray	MaiteBarroso	4169 day(s) ago by NickThackray
42750	Mon 06 Oct 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x10x06	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4197 day(s) ago by JohnShade
42178	Mon 29 Sep 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x09x29	AntonioRetico	SteveTraylen	4207 day(s) ago by AntonioRetico
40864	Wed 10 Sep 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x09x08	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4225 day(s) ago by JohnShade
40432	Mon 01 Sep 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x09x01	MaiteBarroso	NicholasThackray	4208 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
40050	Mon 25 Aug 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x08x25	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	4225 day(s) ago by JohnShade
39666	Mon 18 Aug 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x08x18	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4248 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
39271	Mon 11 Aug 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x08x11	MaiteBarroso	NicholasThackray	4256 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
38938	Mon 04 Aug 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x08x04	NicholasThackray	MaiteBarroso	4190 day(s) ago by NickThackray
38629	Tue 29 Jul 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x07x28	JohnShade	NickThackray	4270 day(s) ago by JohnShade
38373	Mon 21 Jul 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x07x21	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4277 day(s) ago by JohnShade
37973	Mon 14 Jul 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x07x14	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4284 day(s) ago by JohnShade
37459	Mon 07 Jul	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x07x07	SteveTraylen	SteveTraylen	4288 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen

WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

	2008				
36781 ↗	Tue 01 Jul 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x06x30	JohnShade	SteveTraylen	4277 day(s) ago by JohnShade
36456 ↗	Mon 23 Jun 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x06x23	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4288 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
35651 ↗	Mon 09 Jun 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x06x09	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4277 day(s) ago by JohnShade
35210 ↗	Mon 02 Jun 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x06x02	AntonioRetico	MaiteBarroso	4235 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
34793 ↗	Mon 26 May 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x05x26	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4288 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
34181 ↗	Mon 19 May 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x05x19	MaiteBarroso	NicholasThackray	4285 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
33334 ↗	Mon 05 May 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x05x05	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	4288 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
33014 ↗	Mon 28 Apr 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x04x28	SteveTraylen	JohnShade	4318 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
32602 ↗	Mon 28 Apr 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x04x21	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	4338 day(s) ago by NicholasThackray
32253 ↗	Mon 14 Apr 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x04x14	SteveTraylen	MaiteBarroso	4338 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
31870 ↗	Mon 07 Apr 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x04x07	AntonioRetico	MaiteBarroso	4338 day(s) ago by MaiteBarroso
31469 ↗	Mon 31 Mar 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x03x31	NicholasThackray	MaiteBarroso	4387 day(s) ago by NicholasThackray
30747 ↗	Mon 17 Mar 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x03x18	JohnShade	NicholasThackray	4399 day(s) ago by JohnShade
30153 ↗	Mon 10 Mar 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x03x10	SteveTraylen	MaiteBarroso	4354 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
30001 ↗	Mon 03 Mar 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x03x03	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4318 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23810 ↗	Mon 25 Feb 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x02x25	JohnShade	MaiteBarroso	4423 day(s) ago by JohnShade
23809 ↗	Mon 18 Feb 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x02x18	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4424 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23808 ↗		WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x02x11	FaridaNaz	MaiteBarroso	

WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

	Mon 11 Feb 2008				4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23807 ↗	Mon 04 Feb 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x02x04	DusanVudragovic	NicholasThackray	4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23806 ↗	Mon 28 Jan 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x01x28	AntonioRetico	JohnShade	4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23805 ↗	Mon 21 Jan 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x01x21	FaridaNaz	SteveTraylen	4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23804 ↗	Mon 14 Jan 2008	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x01x14	AntonioRetico	SteveTraylen	4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23803 ↗	Mon 17 Dec 2007	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2007x12x17	SteveTraylen	NicholasThackray	4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23802 ↗	Mon 10 Dec 2007	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2007x12x10	NicholasThackray	SteveTraylen	4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen
23801 ↗	Mon 3 Dec 2007	WlcgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2007x12x03	NicholasThackray	SteveTraylen	4418 day(s) ago by SteveTraylen

Open Action Items from Operations Meeting

New action items should just be added in the meeting minutes themselves. There are comments in the minutes to describe how to do this.

Assigned to **Due date** **Description** **State** **Closed** **Notify**

Closed Action Items from Operations Meeting

▣ Show Closed Action Items ▣ Hide Closed Action Items

Assigned to	Due date	Description
Main.SAM	2009-08-31	<p>Activate in production SAM MPI tests 12/10/2009: the MPI tests are in validation </p> <p>Wrong version detection command for the LB service. BUG:61586 . The bug duplicates BUG:55482 from 2009-09-09 09:59. So it is not correct during 3(!) months.</p>
Main.OCC	2010-02-08	<p>UPDATE AT THE MEETING: This will be fixed in gLite 3.2 but not in gLite 3.1. OCC will follow up. 08/02/2010 There is now a fix for gLite 3.1, the bug is set to "Fix Certified". I think this action can be closed. </p> <p>Check MPI test status and request the move to critical next Friday, so from Monday alarms are sent to the regional operation teams</p>
Main.OCC	2010-02-15	<p>This is now done, started on Monday 15th of February. </p> <p>GGUS:28099 has been open for two weeks without comment.</p>
EgeeOCCGroup	2007-12-10	<p>Update Feb 11th set to unsolved (gLite Workload); Related to a MW bug BUG:32962 FQAN comparator does not work properly status: integration candidate </p> <p>Clarify "at risk" downtime & interaction with tools (esp. GridView)</p>
Main.OCC(John)	2008-02-04	<p>Update Jan 31th: Done. Submitted Savannah bug 33104 against GridView?. They fixed the GOCDB synchronizer code (<code>gocdb3_query.pl</code>) to handle AT_RISK downtime (intervention) correctly. </p> <p>What to do about FNAL & SAM timeouts?</p> <p>*Update Jan 31th* : Piotr (Mr SAM) confirmed that site-specific timeouts are not an option. Also, modifying timeouts just for the DPM tests would take a while, and would require agreement from all VOs & ROCs (it would potentially increase the time to detect real DPM problems). One could argue that if the SRM tests are timing out after ten minutes, the SRM is probably not of much use to users at that time either. Therefore, tweaking SAM to mask the problem is not a good solution. Nevertheless, he suggested that FNAL investigate a local workaround, such as increasing the priority of monitoring jobs. Joe was notified of this, & we await his feedback.</p>
Main.OCC(John)	2008-02-04	<p>*Update Feb 18th* More hardware was thrown at the problem and the situation is resolved. </p>
Main.OCC(Nick)	2008-02-04	<p>How to handle BDII/GOCDB mismatches, and the issue of introducing new sites?</p> <p>*Update Jan 31th* : Will be discussed by the ROC managers in Lyon next week (Tuesday 5th)</p> <p>*Update Feb 18th* : Will add a link to minutes of ROC managers meeting</p>

- *Update Feb 25th*:
 This is the link:
[https://edms.cern.ch/file/893655/1/ROC-mgrs-05-02-2008\(ARM-11\).htm](https://edms.cern.ch/file/893655/1/ROC-mgrs-05-02-2008(ARM-11).htm)
 The conclusion was: Nick to ask the relevant development teams for an estimate of the effort required to implement the automatic removal of entries from the top-level BDII.
- *Update Mar 3rd*
 Being handled in the ROC managers meeting, closing here. 
 Ensure instructions for publishing storage space reaches sites (ATLAS)
- *Update Feb 1st* : tickets [GGUS:32064](#) (ROC UKI), [GGUS:32065](#) (ROC Russia), [GGUS:32067](#) (ROC DECH), [GGUS:32068](#) (ROC AP), [GGUS:32070](#) (ROC France) submitted to track the issue
- *Update Feb 13rd* :
 GGUS:32064 (UKI) --> in progress
 GGUS:32065 (ROC Russia) --> open. don't allow of queryconf
 GGUS:32067 (ROC DECH) --> in progress
 GGUS:32068 (ROC AP) --> solved
 GGUS:32070 (ROC France) --> child tickets to sites [GGUS:32071](#), [GGUS:37072](#)
 - [GGUS:32072](#) waiting for reply from Atlas with list of addresses
- Main.OCC(Antonio) 2008-02-04
- *Update Feb 18th*:
 Insure instructions reaches sites about publishing storage... Lots of tickets submitted, close the item. 
 Request all LHCb sites to provide a detailed SRMv2 status page
- *Update Feb 1st* : Find it in the minutes
- Main.OCC(Antonio) 2008-02-04
- *Update Feb 11th* :production sites seem in general not available to provide what requested. The GGUS ticket [GGUS:31800](#) has been set to 'unsolved' and the the issue is being tracked with <http://lblogbook.cern.ch/CCRC08/38> 
 What are the implications of no SAM test results at a site for >24 hours?
 How does it affect availability/reliability calculations?
- GridView 2007-12-10
- *Update 11th Dec:* Gridview team responded, added to next weeks agenda 
[GGUS:29208](#) has been open for a number of weeks without comment.
- Main.OCC 2007-12-10
- *Update Dec 10th* Will be raised at EMT 
 Update Dec 13th Now a confirmed [BUG:32078](#). Already fixed for an upcoming release. 
 SRM sam tests only run once every two hours. Can this be increased to every hour?
- Main.OCC 2007-12-17
- *Update Dec 12th* SRM tests are now running once an hour. 
 Any component which goes straight from certification to production, missing out testing in the PPS, should have this clearly stated in the release notes.
- Main.OCC 2007-12-17

Update Dec 13th This has been discussed with the Integration \& Deployment team who agree to include this information in the release notes from now on. 

Request to Atlas sites to upgrade WNs to SL4

15th Feb: broadcast sent

Update Feb 18th: Request atlas sites to upgrade WN. Broadcast sent , leave open for a bit, deadline was the 15th March. Review 2 weeks before this.

Update Mar 3rd Steve to produce data of queues by OS.
<http://straylen.web.cern.ch/straylen/tmp/atlas-gluece-by-os.txt>

Update Mar 10th From Steve:
<http://straylen.web.cern.ch/straylen/tmp/atlas-sites-by-os.txt>

Update Mar 12th Steve to create a finer report preferable by ROC, ... (only that were possible. Maybe via SAM DB)

Main.all, Main.ROCs

2008-03-15

Update Mar 19th Reminder to all sites, time is running out...

Update Mar 31st From ATLAS (Alessandro): we have developed a SAM test to see which version of lcg-utils has been installed on the WN of the ATLAS supporting sites. The results can be seen in the sam web page selecting ATLAS VO, CE, CE-sft-lcg-version. The sites that give ERROR in this test didn't upgrade to the SRM2 compatible version of lcg-utils. Hope this could help in following the action of having, in all the ATLAS supporting sites, the WN upgraded to SRM2

Update Apr 21st A GGUS ticket should be opened against all ROCs to follow-up this issue with sites. Nick knows how to clone a ticket...

Update May 5th As soon as ATLAS can confirmed that they've opened a GGUS ticket (cloned for all ROCs), we can close this item.

Update May 19th This action can be closed 

Request to Atlas sites to increase the shared sw installation area to 100 GB

15th Feb: broadcast sent

18th Feb: Raised at operations meeting, too soon after broadcast for any feedback.

Main.all, Main.ROCs

2008-03-30

19th Mar: Ongoing, but not obvious how to check compliance.

31 Mar: Ongoing. ATLAS will look into building a SAM tests.

Update Apr 21st A GGUS ticket should be opened against all ROCs to follow-up this issue with sites. Nick knows how to clone a ticket...

Update 5th May Now a GGUS ticket, closing. 

Main.ROCs

2008-03-10

		<p>Is there a need to include DPM Oracle in the gLite distribution alongside DPM MySQL? ROC Managers to check with their respective sites.</p> <p>*Update 3rd March* Closed as being tracked by ROC managers. </p> <p>Input for consolidated prioritization of 64-bit porting of gLite component is requested. Feedback to Oliver Keeble, please.</p>
Main.ROCs	2008-03-10	<p>*Update 12th March* Received feedback from Italy, Southwest, and a few others. Close action. Consolidated prioritization list for 32-bit releases will be provided by Oliver.</p>
OliverKeeble	2008-03-10	<p>*Update: 3/3/08* Oliver added a "priorities" section to the Node Tracker page: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/Glite31NodeTracker</p> <p>Closed. </p> <p>Broadcast that gLite 3.0 lcg-RB should henceforth be considered obsolete and unmaintained. It is replaced by WMS (preferably on SL4). Include link to user documentation in the broadcast.</p>
EgeeOCCGroup	2008-03-31	<p>*Update: 3/3/08* Announcement should be made at the time of the release of the WMS/LE on SL4 (TBD), saying support will be dropped for the lcg-RB in 2(?) months.</p> <p>*Update 12 March 2008:* No change.</p> <p>*Update 31 Mar:* The RB will be obsoleted once the SL4 version of the WMS is available.</p>
Main.SAM, Main.team	2008-05-27	<p>*Update 17th Apr:* Will be released in two months, closing. </p> <p>Need to consider what SAM, alarm system and CIC portal should do mitigate against a high load CE.</p> <p>*Update March 12th* Had discussion with Ulrich and also submitted a new test for sam, it needs some thought as to if it is a good idea but it would be a non-critical test on the "GlueCEStateStatus: Production" attribute that the then critical CE tests would depend on. The same logic as the existing SE free space tests. https://savannah.cern.ch/bugs/?34443</p> <p>*Update 31 March:* Request for new SAM sensor passed to SAM team.</p> <p>*update 21 April:* On SAM work-list (Savannah). John thought that the item could be closed as far as the ROC managers are concerned, but Kost was worried that the issue risked being forgotten. He suggested the possibility of a pending state for items that get transferred to other tracking mechanisms. Nick will think about it.</p>

WlwgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

Update 5th of May Now on the SAM worklist. Nothing changed for now, ignore for 3 weeks.

Update 2nd of June No progress recorded.

Update 11th June This is present as [BUG:34443](#) anyway so close here 

Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 

Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Example Action Item 
Main.OCC	2007-03-05	Extract from the information system the list of WMS 3.0 Update from Steve: Does not look too bad, this is only those who are publishing at all. Those with old WMS (SL3 in fact) EENet (Estonia) ITEP (Russia) RTUETF (Latvia) UNI-FREIBURG (Germany)

Those with new WMS (SL4 in fact)

AEGIS01-PHY-SCL
Australia-ATLAS
BY-UIIP
CERN-PROD
CESGA-EGEE
CGG-LCG2
CNR-PROD-PISA
CY-01-KIMON
CYFRONET-LCG2
DESY-HH
FZK-LCG2
GR-01-AUTH
GRIF
HG-06-EKT
INFN-CNAF
INFN-PADOVA
ITEP
JINR-LCG2
KR-KISTI-GCRT-01
NCP-LCG2
pic
prague_cesnet_lcg2
RAL-LCG2
RO-03-UPB
RTUETF
RU-Phys-SPbSU
ru-PNPI
SARA-MATRIX
Taiwan-LCG2
TR-01-ULAKBIM
UKI-SCOTGRID-GLASGOW
Uniandes
VU-MIF-LCG2

Note there may well be other WMS not included by siteBDIIs out there we know nothing about.

Update 10/9/08: The four sites running WMS on SL3 were asked to upgrade ASAP. 

Main.OCC 2007-03-05 Example Action Item 

SteveTraylen 2008-05-26 The T0 FTS server has configured 0 retries by default, while T1s have 3 retries by default. This complicates Atlas workflow, if a transfer fails, we try to find another source with the same file. Could we have 0 retries in all FTS servers at T1s (this affects all channels, all VOs)? What is the position of the other LHC VOs?
- Not a problem for LHCb
- Ron (SARA): I thought this could be set up per channel, per VO agent. to be checked with Gaving & co

* Answer from Gavin:

WlwgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

The 'retry' count is a VO policy, so needs to be set in the relevant VO agent config for the FTS server (the default is 3 retries separated by minimum 30 minutes).

I know CMS' Phedex prefer to fail-fast (and see the error as early as possible), so have asked T1 sites to set the retry to 0. Phedex then retries externally (i.e. with another FTS jobs for the failed files).

LHCb and ALICE I think are still set to the default.

See: <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/FtsYaimValues20>

Contact fts-support@cern.ch in case of problems.

Update June 11th Steve should submit tickets to all FTS sites.

Update June 13th GGUS:37415 [☞](#) submitted and child tickets sent to ROCs of each Tier1.
Review in two weeks time.

Update June 20th GGUS:37415 [☞](#) has been responded to by all FTS instances that the changes have been made.... Except for:

For USCMS-FNAL-WC1 in GGUS:37428 [☞](#)

For BNL-LCG2 in GGUS:37427 [☞](#)

Both will be contacted again this week.

* Update June 30th* Steve will escalate, two U.S. sites are problematic.

* Update July 7th* BNL and Fermi have now responded that they made the configuration change. Action item to be closed after next meeting. Steve will check VO-card setting for WN local disk space requirements for all HEFT VOs.

Reviewed 3rd February, Alice = 10 GB, Atlas = 15 GB, CMS = 10GB, LHCb = 2 GB.

SteveTraylen

2009-02-02

All LHC VOs specify values for WN disk space. Close this action, if you see particular VOs exceeding this then submit GGUS tickets for the VO.

Main.LHCb

2008-03-17

Close this after next operations meeting. 

LHCb and Kostas to contact one another about middleware version tickets within SouthEast region.

** solved:

LHCb runs a custom SAM test that checks the version of lcg_utils and spots out sites with obsolete version installed.

The person in LHCb following these tickets submitted twice 24 tickets for 24 different sites because his first attempt (using mail ticketing system of GGUS) failed to return the GGUS reference. For your information this

problem was due to a missed mapping of the submitters mail address (used by GGUS for submissions of tickets via mail) and his certificate. 

Marcin to produce a list of examples where a site failure is attributed to a central service failure.

Main.Marcin	2007-03-19	<p>*Update 19th March*: Marcin supplied some examples. Problem is well understood, solution is less obvious. John to work with SAM & GridView team. </p> <p>Sam team to investigate promptly the BDII2SRM script to recognise GlueServiceType/Version SRM/1.10 correctly. GGUS:33726 ↗, BUG:31940 ↗</p>
Main.SAM	2007-03-19	<p>*Update 13th March 2008* !BDII2SAM script now fixed, action should be closed following next meeting.</p> <p>*Update 31 March:* Script is fixed. Close. </p> <p>Please look into GGUS:33850 ↗ concerning transparent downtimes affecting site availability.</p>
GridView	2007-04-27	<p>*update: 20/3/08* GridView team has fixed the bug (CVS tag gridview-synchronizer-20080318).</p> <p>*update: 7/4/09*: ticket and action re-opened because also gstat needs a f </p> <p>Define with gstat (roc-dev@listsNOSPAMPLEASE.grid.sinica.edu.tw) the new value to be set in the list of allowed OS describing the Scientific Linux 5 run at the site</p>
SteveTraylen	2008-04-14	<p>Update 17th April Min looking at it but the site should really submit a ticket. As per the instructions on http://goc.grid.sinica.edu.tw/gocwiki/How_to_publish_the_OS_name </p> <p>Check with LHCb the status of the development of Dirac3 (version of the submission engine interfaced to WMS)</p>
Main.OCC	2008-06-10	<p>Update 17th April Will be released in at least 2 months, close action item for now. </p> <p>Verify and document in the User Guide the option to configure the GFAO client to use multiple BDIIs</p>
AndreaSciaba	2008-04-21	<p>Update 17th April, Maite will check.</p> <p>Update 19th May, Andrea changed this on the same day the action was raised. This action can be closed. </p> <p>Clarify the scope of the issue reported in WlwgOsgEgeeOpsMinutes2008x04x07 about continuous certificate requests. Is it a general comment or related in particular to the CIC portal</p>
Main.UKRoc	2008-04-14	<p>Update 17th April, Gilles has done something. </p>
Main.CERNROC	2008-05-13	<p>Follow up with YerPhi site to resolve or suspend site.</p>

Update 5th May.

CERNROC to provide an update next week once they have been CIC on duty for a week and cleaned everything up.

Update 9th May.

!YerPhi is now in state suspended and all existing COD tickets have been closed.

This item should be closed after next week's meeting.

Update 19th May, this action can be closed 

Atlas to provide details of tests they are running. Atlas have provided the name of the test. CE-sft-vo-swspace . This item should be closed next week.

Main.Atlas

2008-05-13 Update 5th May: Small amount still to do but progress has been made. Revisit next week.

Update 19th May: this action can be closed 

While GFAL works with a multi-valued **LCG_GFAL_INFOSYS** variable there other bits of software that may not. e.g. glite-service-discovery, lcg-infosite, lcg-info,... . These need all to be checked for their support level. Currently assigned to Andrea but someone else should really do this...(Perhaps Steve?)

Update Monday June 2nd

No progress made.

SteveTraylen

2008-05-27 *Update Friday June 6th*
3 pieces of software identified as affected.
lcg-infosites [BUG:37572](#) , lcg-mon-stdout [BUG:37571](#) and
glite-sd-query [BUG:37569](#)

All are submitted as bugs and this item should be closed after the next monday meeting.

Steve

Update June 11th Savannah tickets all submitted, close here. 

Check with CMS VO Cards about WMS and Pool account support.

Update 28-May-08: CMS confirms that the use of pool accounts for SGM has proved to be not working in many cases. The main problem is that the acls on the files are set by users and, if different accounts are used, one software manager could act (e.g. uninstall) packages installed by another.

NicholasThackray,
AntonioRetico

2008-06-06 On the other hand this is not relevant for WMS, where the distinction of pools per VO is not needed.

The conclusion is that the recommended configuration of the accounts has indeed to be different between CEs and WMS, at least as far as CMS is concerned.

As far as I am concerned this action can be closed.

Antonio 

Check if CRL lifetimes are monitored anywhere?

Update 2nd June

From Romain: There is a SAM test called "CE-wn-sec-crl". General results are public, but detailed results are available only to the ROC security contacts + SAM team.

Follow up question for Romain. CE-wn-sec-crl monitors CRL status on the WNs them self.

What was being asked for was central monitoring of the CA's CRL URLs.

SteveTraylen

2008-06-06

It would make for an easy rrd plot.

Update 9th June

There is central monitoring of CRLs here <http://nagios.eugridpma.org/>.

Also I have requested that the WLCG Monitoring Group considers getting these

to sites via its alarm/nagios/messaging framework. [BUG:37632](#).

Update 11th June Ask the CODS to look at the nagios alarms for CRLs twice

a week. 

Look into why LHCb's files in /tmp are being deleted.

SteveTraylen,
EgeeSiteRepsGroup

2008-06-06

The reason is that python's tarfile unpacks files with a --preserve-atime so the files are old as far as tmpwatch is concerned. A way forward is being discussed.

Update 2-Jun: discussion in minutes --> closing 

Check with Gridview/SAM if the tier1 availability for 20th -> 26th May can be recalculated given the failure of the ATLAS sam UI from 20th to the 26th May. Assigned to Maite for now.

MaiteBarroso

2008-06-06

Update 2-Jun: Discussed in minutes --> closing 

follow-up reported site UKI-LT2-QMUL (transferred to Political Instance by COD on 2-Jun-08).

JeremyColes(UKI)

2008-06-09

30/6/08 - Jeremy reckoned this action can be closed. 

follow-up reported site VGTU-gLite (transferred to Political Instance by COD on 2-Jun-08)

RonTrompert(NE)

2008-06-09

30/6/08 Site is still failing SAM tests and should be suspended.

3/07/08 Ron reported that the site has now reacted and fixed the situation close this action after the next operations meeting. 

Main.ROC_France

2008-06-09

follow-up the following issue reported by ROC France: *With our UIs we have some problems with Python for several VOs because those VOs use their own Python version (> 2.3.x). Unfortunately, UI installation provides standard python2.3 libraries within the externals directory, and set the PYTHONPATH accordingly. By the way, to be able to use their own python installation, VOs must conveniently update the PYTHONPATH variable to ensure that the right version of the required libraries are firstly taken into*

account. Make sure also that you call the right python binary

Update 11th June Nick will look into this.

Update 21st June Waiting for Nick

Update 28th July Response from SA3 - _The tarball is produced to work with SL4, so python 2.3 has to be the default. To fully support python 2.5 (for example), you need to distribute the interpreter, reconfigure the environment and, ideally, have all your language extensions recompiled against the new python API. We are looking into how to do the last part, the first two things are up to the site or VO.

Update 11th August this was raised by a VO in France, is the answer given by SA3 OK? how do we move from here? Helene will pass the feedback to the relevant people

Update 1st September the action can be closed; finally the real solution was in a savannah bug and it was a problem with the YAIM environment

Modify the SAM unavailability list on twiki adding a section for availability of clients run by the VOs

JudiNovak

2008-06-09

Update 11th June This will be followed up with John and Judit immediately after the meeting on the 9th. 

Check GGUS:36373  concerning advertising queues for production roles only.

July 2nd, got in touch with the job priorities work group to see if they can give a good example of what should be done.

SteveTraylen

2008-06-18

July 7th, there is now a massive thread which does contain the answer. The answer must be extracted and documented next.

July 16th. I've now written

How_to_publish_queues_with_access_restricted_to_a_FQAN  but it is unclear

to me what is wanted from the tickets that are assigned to me.

Update 21/7/08 Now that Wiki page exists, Steve would like to close this item. Any problems should result in new tickets! 

Upgrade lcg-utils on SAM submission host.

Main.SAM

2008-06-30

Latest version of lcg_utils installed in SAM validation testbed & used against this site.

Previous version failed with: protocol not supported by Storage Element
Latest version fails with: CGI-gSOAP: Error reading token data header:
Connection reset by peer

SteveTraylen

2008-06-30

Problem seems to be with the data that the site provides to the Information System. 

Submit somewhere request for better downtime publishing as proposed by atlas sometime ago.

Update 1st July <https://savannah.cern.ch/support/?104871> now submitted.

Steve 

CIC portal uses the security certificate of a different site. Cyril will follow-up. John will submit a GGUS ticket.

JohnShade

2008-07-10

Update: [GGUS:38050](#) Problem is that Firefox doesn't recognize the French Certificate Authority. Solution is simply to define an exception in Firefox. 

Steve to look at [GGUS:37334](#) and escalate to someone.

2nd July - This may be resolved by a fix to [BUG:37008](#), waiting for clarification.

8th July - Mentioned in the EMT yesterday, the fix is in an upcoming patch and

SteveTraylen

2008-07-10

also a bug will be submitted to link to it. Add bug before next week and close.

14th July - Bug now submitted [BUG:38820](#). As I understand it this already fixed in an upcoming release. Close the action here after today's meeting since the BUG is now present. 

UK/I ROC to look at [GGUS:37890](#).

Main.UKRoc

2008-07-10

14th July 2008 Jeremy will take a look.

21st July 2008 [BUG:38320](#) which was the related item is now fixed and closed.

This item is, consequently, also closed. 

Steve should submit a GGUS requesting that gstat monitors for LFCs not publishing as compared to GOCDB.

SteveTraylen

2008-07-10

2nd July [GGUS:38053](#) now submitted, leave action item until a response is given. 

Follow up on [GGUS:34338](#). Concerns gstat sanity error at FNAL.

Main.OCC

2008-09-01

Update 8th August. Solved, as was suggested in April the CEs should not be present in the GOCDB. GOCDB contains a list of EGEE siteBDIIs and services not under those siteBDIIs are not at the site as far as GOCDB/gstat or EGEE is concerned. Steve.

Close this action after next meeting. 

SAMAP is giving critical errors rather than warnings when sites do not update their CA RPMs 7 days prior to the deadline for update.

Main.OCC

2008-08-18

Update 25th August SAMAP will follow-up "later"

Update 8/9/08: Nick will follow-up.

Update 13th October The tool development team has fixed the bug. 

Main.OCC

2008-08-25

Find the probable release date of the CREAM CE.

Update 25th August: This will be released in the next update to gLite 3. within 1-2 weeks.

1st September After the update at today's meeting, this action can be closed: the EMT made the decision to delay the deployment of the Cream CE (the certified patch). This is because not-ICE-enabled WMS could accidentally match the Cream CE and cause a submission failure. Waiting for the ICE-WMS to be deployed, as a workaround, Cream will be released with a `GlueServiceStatus?? = 'Production'`, to be changed again later. Once this issue is represented by the old version of WMS on SL3 (unsupported). As they will not be integrated with ICE, once the Cream CE will be advertised again in real production mode, they would fail to submit. In order to size this issue up we would like to get from the WLCG EGEE Operation Meeting an estimation of the number of old SL3 WMS still in production.



Make the owners of the CA RPM release process aware of the issues raised by ROC France.

Update August 19th: Maite has some news?

Update 1st September SAM agrees to extend the 7 days period in this specific case: the CA RPMs are not put in the repository in the 1 day scheduled for this. Technically it is feasible and already implemented. See diagram and explanations here:

Main.OCC

2008-08-18

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/SAMSensorsTests#CE_sft_cav

Shorty, the diagram shows that it is possible to configure:

- time-stamp from which countdown of timeout starts
- delay of warning
- timeout before sites will get CRIT error

Update 10/9/08: Although Nick doesn't understand the text, he said that ticket can be closed (SAM implemented what was asked).

ATLAS to submit a GGUS ticket detailing the problems of slow responses of the GOC DB seen in the evenings.

Main.ATLAS

2008-08-18

Update 19th August - Slow response no longer obvious, will close and reopen if need be.

Submit a request to the FTS developers to provide suitable information providers for publishing the FTM end-points.

Main.OCC

2008-08-18

August 8th 2008 , bug now submitted GGUS:39906 [↗](#)

The action can be closed

Main.Alessandro_di_Girolamo

2008-08-18

Send details to Maite (Maria . Barroso . Lopez @ cern . ch) and Jeremy Coles (j . coles @ rl . ac . uk) of who to contact regarding the agenda of the ATLAS events at CERN during the week 25-29 August.

NickThackray

2008-08-11

On the request of LHCb, escalate the bug BUG:39641 [↗](#) at the EMT.

the action can be closed

SteveTraylen

2008-08-20

Check if KCA is still needed in the lcg-CA CA set.

Was raised at this week's LCG MB. Fermilab representatives are checking internally if it is still needed.

Update 19th of August: The LCB MB meets today and this will hopefully be resolved.

Update 25th August: The KCA will soon be officially approved as a trusted CA. Also, it is being used by the CDF VO. Therefore, KCA will remain in the list of CAs. 

Remind sites that the shared area is a critical service.

Main.OCC	2008-09-01	<p>Update 10/9/08: Nick sent an EGEE broadcast about this. In fact, he sent two, to explain that this only concerned sites supporting VOs that had explicitly mentioned the shared software area in their respective VO cards. </p> <p>OCC to put an enhancement request into the GOCDB and CIC Portal for the following: EGEE downtime announcement procedure:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Announcement of scheduled downtime with a mail "Announcement" at least 24h in advance as in the MoU. 2. Start of downtime (scheduled and unscheduled) as of the time when it starts with a mail "Start" (with correct time!) 3. End of downtime: mail "End" (with correct time) <p>Update 3 Nov: OCC has entered this enhancement request into the GOCDB "shopping list" in Savannah (https://savannah.cern.ch/support/?105977). Close the item. </p>
Main.OCC	2008-11-17	<p>From UK/I but general, why are so many sites failing LHCb SAM tests. Please can LHCb give a summary. Roberto Santin. will check again on 11.11.2008. </p> <p>Check there is progress on GGUS:42341 </p>
Main.LHCb	2009-11-10	<p>Update: Current monitoring architecture does not allow one service to be multiple nodes/sites. A new database schema is being worked on (based on service end-points), but the restriction of binding a service to a specific site will probably remain. </p>
JohnShade	2009-11-10	<p>Check IPTA-LCG2 for progress on GGUS:42015 . This now led to suspension action on 2008-11-10. Closing this one. </p>
RocNorth	2009-11-10	<p>Check RU-Phys-SPbSU for progress on GGUS:40521 . RocRussia did suspend. </p>
RocRussia	2009-11-10	<p>Follow-up with Beijing site as per GGUS:40700  </p> <p>Suspend ITPA site as per GGUS:42015 </p>
DianaBosio	2008-12-08	<p>*Update 17th November*, site has now responded. North East ROC to respond next week.</p> <p>*Update 27th November*, site has now corrected the problem, closing the </p>
Main.ROC_NE	2008-12-08	<p>Follow-up escalation as per GGUS:42981 . LCG CE update 33: thousand of defunct globus-gma </p>
MariaDimou	2008-12-08	<p>Follow-up escalation as per GGUS:42999 . WMS 3.1 update 34: ISM stops working </p>
MariaDimou	2008-12-02	<p>Nick to add hyperlink to agenda and minutes template for the Alcatel meeting call back.</p>
NicholasThackray		

		<p>Update: link was always there, but now uses a font for the blind. </p> <p>OCC to send broadcast to sites requesting to upgrade the GFAL version s it is higher than 1.10.6</p> <p>More details about the issue can be found here: https://gus.fzk.de/ws/ticket_info.php?ticket=43994</p>
Main.OCC	2009-01-31	<p>Update 19/1/2009: Biomed GFAL version problem, Maite will send broadcast after the meeting (seems some sites are still on SL3 and need to upgrade the O/S as well as GFAL!)</p> <p>Update 26/1/2009: no broadcast seen, OCC to follow up.</p> <p>Update 2/2/2009: broadcast not sent, problem being followed up with sites through GGUS. Agreement to close item. </p> <p>The Data Management team (Akos) to provide a version of the LFC with list replica (related to the old GFAL version problem reported by Biomed)</p> <p>Update 19/1/2009: (mail from Akos): We have examined the issue and it does not look like a security problem, but a resource limitation: the number of threads in an LFC instance limits the number of clients that can connect concurrently and the Biomed usage patten exceeds that limit. When the clients would finish their work, LFC would be responsive again</p>
Main.Akos	2009-01-31	<p>The same problem would occur with other iterator like operations, like opendir/readdir/closedir.</p> <p>Removing these operations would cause old clients to fail, however it would not solve the problem, so in my opinion the upgrade of lcg_utils is the right solution.</p> <p>Unfortunately nobody has contacted us from the Biomed community regarding the possibility and context of a special build, so we did not progress on that side.</p> <p>Update 26/1/2009: Can be closed. </p>
Main.Biomed	2009-02-28	<p>Long term solution to the old GFAL version problem reported by Biomed develop VO specific SAM test to detect this, and then exclude the sites w the wrong version</p> <p>Update 19/1/2009: Long-term solution could be SAM tests, or adding GFAL version collection to job-wrapper scripts. </p>
Main.SAM	2009-01-31	<p>SAM and Atlas (Alessandro) to get together to understand how SAM-Atlas deals with sites with no close SE defined and see if this can be used in SAM-operations</p> <p>Update: 19/1/2009: The outcome of the get-together was:</p> <p>>> Not having SE affects on passing by site RM SAM tests - those tests take closest SE (default). This is incorrect – the defined SE doesn't have to be at the site!</p>

>> Also setting up site in such situation is not possible because yaim require SE.

Correct, but again the SE doesn't have to be local to the site.

>> In case of putting SE in Scheduled downtime, site have to put also CE into downtime (otherwise will not pass RM tests) or chose (lack in procedures) other SE (from other site).

This is correct, and the only real issue. ATLAS doen't use Replica Management tests, but believe that they should be part of the ops infrastructure tests (which are more extensive). There may be a case for making the replica management tests non-critical, but they've been critical for two years now and most people seem happy with this.

The way for a site to change the defined SE is to modify the variable VO_OPS_DEFAULT_SE in the WNs' site-info.def files. 

Check of existing cases of sites only hosting core services, without site services. This is to support a new site RedIRIS in SWE ROC

Update 19/1/2009: CERN ROC to check sites with only core services – progress.

Update 2/2/2009: New SWE site RedIRIS will only host core services (BDII, WMS, etc.)

Problems until now:

1) GIIS performance error due to: GIIS Old Entries Found: 6 - ERROR - This will make the SAM test gperf fail.

2) No Grid Version published: GridVersion: ***NOTE*** could not find valid LCG version

Main.CERN-ROC

2009-01-31

- This is just a warning in GSTAT at this moment

The other tests seem to work only the gperf error is critical.

Update 12th February - Steve will take a look to understand what this is about.

Update 19th February - Steve - Confused , there is no RedIRIS site in gstat? <http://gstat.gridops.org/gstat//SouthWesternEurope.html> 

Update at the meeting - Kai will check.

Update 3rd March The gstat errors are caused by the WMS publishing only static information. The new info provider just release publishes dynamic information so this will fix itself. 

NickThackray

2009-02-09

Ask SA3 for a list of library packages needed for 32 to 64-bit migration.

Update 12th Feb - There is no list. There is a list of per VO on the VO Cards, we may try and produce a common list. What next?

		<p>*Update at the meeting* - VOs will definitely have to maintain a list of the libraries they need, in their VO ID card. Item closed. </p>
AllIROCs	2009-02-09	<p>All T1s to check and update list of FTM end-points. To be sent to Nick 12th Feb, TWiki page has been created. </p>
Main.ROCSE	2009-02-27	<p>RO-03-UPB has been been escalated to operations meeting for possible suspension ROC: SEE; GGUS:45038 </p> <p>Feb 23rd Ticket is now solved, site in quarantine. Close this action item after next operations meeting. </p> <p>Nick to check on CE status with respect to gLite 3.0/3.1 and Condor.</p> <p>Update at the meeting - Confusion as to what this action was about. Whether Condor is supported on the gLite 3.1 LCG CE. Nick will follow up.</p>
Main.Nick	2009-02-27	<p>Update 27 Feb 09 - In theory the gLite 3.1 LCG CE <i>should</i> support the Condor batch system. Instructions on how to set it up are here: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/BatchSystems. If a site has problems, please submit a GGUS ticket and CC neissner@picNOSPAMPLEASE.es.</p> <p>Update 9 Mar 09 - closing </p> <p>When an individual service at a site is marked as "not in production" in the GOCDB, but the site is "in production", SAM continues to test the service. This is not the intended functionality. Check if there is a bug outstanding already, and if not, create one.</p>
Main.!JohnShade	2009-03-09	<p>Update 27/2/09: It turns out that GridView does not synchronize on that particular GOCDB field, so it isn't available to SAM. The recommended workaround is to create a scheduled downtime - tests will still run, but no tickets will be raised. The requested functionality will be in the new Aggregated Topology Provider, and GOCDB will have a production attribute associated with each service.</p> <p>Update 9/3/09 - closing during meeting </p> <p>Follow-up with EMT the re-prioritisation of PATCH:2784  and possibly increase it to high</p>
AntonioRetico	2009-03-16	<p>UPDATE 20-Mar: I discussed with the EMT Coordinator. There is a long list of services which now have priority both in certification and release preparation. They welcomed the idea of a pilot service to be run at some sites, which by providing real-usage records would help them making the certification faster. I am preparing a request for sites to join this activity to be presented at the next OPS meeting. </p> <p>Note all problems linked to CERN outage of the 19th</p>
Main.All	2009-03-23	<p>Update 23/3/09: other than some SAM alarms due to temporary glitches with the central LFC and Top-level BDII, no problems were noted. </p> <p>Check with EMT about plans for FTS with credentials.</p>
AntonioRetico	2009-03-30	<p>Last meeting agreed to close this item, but it was not done at the time. </p>

WlwgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes < EGEE < TWiki

Check validity of CERN & FNAL FTM points advertised in Wiki.

UPDATE 25/3/2009

DianaBosio

2009-03-30

Two GGUS tickets have been open
for CERN 47367 [↗](#)
for FNAL 47368 [↗](#)

Update 20/4/09: This should have been closed last week; issue being tracked in GGUS. 

Check with the GOCDB if the RSS feed is updated when the downtime is modified (extended or shortened)

Update 20/4/09: Nick to check with Gilles (but he thinks that the answer is no).

Main.OCC

2009-04-27

Update 27/4/09: An update has been given to Nick by Gilles, this will be added here.

18/05/09: An RSS notification is sent by the Operations Portal whenever there is a change to a down-time (see minutes of today's meeting for more details). 

Check with Romain impact of OSCT duty contact being different to that of the COD schedule

NickThackray

2009-04-27

Update 27th April. A timetable will be provided by OSCT.

Update 4th May: No impact. OSCT will provide a time table, carrying on with the old schedule from the COD to be applied to the OSCT till the end of EGEE III. 

What installed capacity should be published for sites with only storage.

SteveTraylen

2007-07-13

31st August - ROC should go ahead and certify the site once happy. Its a valid configuration and any problems in the monitoring or similar should be fixed.

At the moment none are expected.



Check whether CERN's Quattor templates for VOMS could be useful to LAL

NickThackray

2009-07-20

This wasn't done fast enough to be useful, so closing the action. John 25/8/09 

Creation of New Minute Skeleton from Template.

To create a minutes page for a particular date edit the box below and submit.

Chair	
Minute Taker	
Indico Id	
New Minutes Page	(date format is YYYYxMMxDD)

The template used for new minutes is WlcoOsgEgeeOpsMinutesTemplate. Any changes made to the template will only influence new minutes.

Page settings.

These page can only be changed by:

- Set ALLOWTOPICCHANGE = WLCGOpsMeetGroup

This topic: EGEE > WlwgOsgEgeeOpsMeetingMinutes

Topic revision: r44 - 2010-02-08 - unknown



Copyright &© by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.

Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? Ask a support question or [Send feedback](#)