

Table of Contents

PO Deliverable Review Form.....	1
General comments.....	1
Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work).....	1
Detailed comments on the content.....	2

PO Deliverable Review Form

Identification of the deliverable or milestone	
Project: EMI	Deliverable or milestone identifier: D5.6.2
Title: DJRA1.6.2 Integration Work Plan and Status Report	Doc. identifier: EMI-DXXX-CDSREF-Title-vx.x
Author(s): A. Glesler	Due date: __

Identification of the reviewer		
Name: F. Estrella	Affiliation: CERN	EMI Activity/External project or Institute: NA1

Review date	mm/dd/yyyy
Author(s) revision date	mm/dd/yyyy
Reviewer acceptance date	mm/dd/yyyy

Attach the reviewed document to the deliverable page, put here a link

General comments

PO comments 20/05/11 PO comments 20/05/11

Hi Andre, Morris

Andre has already updated me on the difficulty of completing DJRA162 due to pending input from Ar

The first release of this report, DJRA161, was accepted with reservation. A number of issues were

Refer to the first section of the review wiki where I have put DJRA162 abstract, TJRA1.7 descript
<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/DeliverableDJRA162>

- DJRA162 DoW abstract, unfortunately, is not completely aligned with the scope of TJRA1.7 Integrat
- continuous verification - what is the plan? how is it monitored
- agreed interfaces - what are the agreed interfaces, planning, verification
- common dependencies - what are the common dependencies, planning, verification
- interoperability - definition and verification
- interoperability within EMI
- interoperability with external systems
- verification - how is this handled? who is providing the tests? who is running the tests? infras
- platform dependencies

Based on TJRA1.7 description, I disagree with the ff statement from DJRA162 v0.3:

- DoW does not mention interoperability at all and therefore not addressed (see task description)
- according to the agreed definition of integration, the integration with external components is o
- SA2 enables the integration of components describing guidelines and providing tools, thus these

Some specific comments on v0.3 available on wiki:

- What is the "general EMI Development and Testing Plan"
- The Executive Summary should be extended to include what has changed since the last report and t
- The Executive Summary should include an overall critical assessment of first year integration an
- We need a section on harmonisation of integration and interoperability activities (from pre EMI
- A section specifically to cover platform/OS dependencies

I realise this is not an easy document to write. Morris, Jdrzej, PO - we should try to help as m

-Florida

Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work)

Detailed comments on the content

Note 1: The reviewers must list here any observation they want to track explicitly and that require interaction with the authors

Alternatively all changes must be listed in the document itself using Word change tracking features (if you use Word)

Note 2: These comments have to be explicitly addressed by the authors and the action taken must be clearly described

N°	Page	Section	Observations and Replies	Is Addressed?
1	xx	x.y	Sequence of comments and replies separated by twiki signature and date	
2				
3				
4				

Any other modification, spelling or grammatical corrections, etc must be done directly in the document using tracked changes or similar mechanisms that allows the authors to identify which correction is suggested.

This topic: EMI > DJRA162ReviewPO

Topic revision: r1 - 2011-05-22 - unknown



Copyright &© 2008-2020 by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.

Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? Send feedback