


## DSA2.3.2 - Periodic QA Reports

A report on the compliance with and results of the quality assurance process is produced monthly by the activity manager and is reported every twelve months to the EC (M12).

The quality assurance process is documented in the Software Quality Assurance Plan [↗](#).

### Review tracking

- 19/04/11 ToC available for review
- 19/04/11 ToC sent to reviewers for comments; deadline 22/04
- 19/05/11 v1.1 available for review
- 19/05/11 v1.1 sent to F. Giacomini, J. Rybicki, PEB for review; deadline 24/05
- 21/05/11 PO review comments received
- 23/05/11 J. Rybicki review comments received
- 25/05/11 v1.2 available for review with PO and JRA1 comments.
- C. Cacciari has replaced F. Giacomini as SA1 reviewer
- 26/05/11 C. Cacciari review comments received
- 26/05/11 v1.3 available for review with SA1 comments.
- Reviewers' acceptance or additional comments on v1.3 by 30/05
- 27/05/11 PO review comments on v1.3 received with critical comments to be addressed
- 27/05/11 C. Cacciari accepted v1.3
- 27/05/11 J. Rybicki comments received; outstanding comments still to be addressed
- 27/05/11 v1.4 available for review with PO and JRA1 comments. Answers to PO's comments can be found in PO review comments with Maria's answer (This is not v1.4, only replies to comments).
- 28/05/11 Florida sent suggestions on how to address outstanding critical comments
- 28/05/11 v1.5 available for review with PO and JRA1 comments. All remaining comments have been addressed. I updated twiki for JRA1 review and for Florida, I haven't answered in any place but I have followed her suggestion for comment f26 in all relevant places.
- Final call for critical comments only by COB 03/06
- Final pdf uploaded to CDS [↗](#)
-  PO observations and future work considerations (020611) :I have made a number of changes to the DSA232. Apart from minor presentation fixes, I changed the wording of certain assertions following talks made during Lund AHM, clarifications with SA1 (Cristina, Francesco) and discussions during the review preparation. These changes were, imo, necessary to better align the reports of SA1 and SA2. Similar changes are being applied to SA1 deliverables as well.

### Reviewers

- C. Cacciari (SA1, CINECA)
- J. Rybicki (JRA1, JUELICH)
- PO

-- FloridaEstrella - 19-Apr-2011

---

This topic: EMI > DeliverableDSA232

Topic revision: r26 - 2011-06-07 - unknown



Copyright &© 2008-2022 by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.

or Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? use Discourse or Send feedback