

Participant: Björn Hagemeyer, Danilo Dongiovanni, Frantisek Dvorak, Jozef Cernak, Tomasz Wolak, Alberto Aimar, Gianni Pucciani, Anders Waananen, Cristina Aiftimiei, Owen Singer, Jan Schaefer

---

1. Static Testbed or dynamic Testbeds views and use cases:

1. static: 1. 1 bdii publishing all resources - 2. 1 bdii for each RELEASE publishing related resources
2. dynamic: 1. Through an information system configurable on the fly by developers one can allow to personalize testbed sets of resources (selected by developers from a pool of instances deploying production and Release Candidate versions)

AGREEMENT ON: - SA2.6 provides static bdii:

1. with just production services, reachable from all sites
2. If needed we will separate RC versions in a different bdii (Owen suggests to wait for this extra effort, until we're sure it is needed)

PTs. for particular test needs can build their own bdii including EMI-TESTBED top bdii resources into their own testbed.

RC defined as: version identified by an official build (in etics or similar). PTs declare when their product is ready to be a RC. SA2.6 is notified. RC is something thought to be suitable for the release, without changes. Unicore, ARC: similar approach for information system

---

2. TESTBED STATUS dashboard: implementation of a webpage publishing testbed resources overview including their status (collected from Nagios)

- Not possible to setup a central nagios with ping (DESY has firewall for "ping" for example)

Danilo: AFAIK Two levels nagios is available for grid nagios, which groups hosts by services on the base of bdii information and have no probes for unicore or arc. We need a two levels nagios system with just basic checks (ping/ssh)

ACTION - Tomasz ask for a solution at nagios people and come back with more info about requirements.

---

3. GGUS shifts for testbeds + 1 person responsible for each site/middleware

- populate the mailing list

ACTION on ALL: - each participating site sends Danilo either a mailing list or >=1 mail accounts.

- Agreement on keeping response time ~2 working days on best effort

---

4. HW dimensioning and contribution from different partners

- SL5/64b - Deb5 - SL5/32b x 11ARC + 11Unicore + 17gLite

in the testbed now we have 65 servers, with some redundance of services or same service on different platforms.

ACTION on Danilo: PREPARE A TABLE with SERVICES \* PLATFORMS \* SITES, putting together info in the <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/DeliverableDNA131> deliverable with info about the possibility to deploy on same server.

---

End of October last expected date for EMI 0

---

5. testers.emi-eu.eu VO deployment plan - accepted as emitest VO (PEB decision).

- ACTION on DANILO: registering in the CIC portal

- VO in GGUS. we see later if it is needed

---

6. fake CA management on EMIttestbed

- Vincenzo Ciaschini from VOMS PT will try arc instant CA tool (SA2.6 advertize it into the twiki)

## Minutes10012010 < EMI < TWiki

- if not enough for VOMS use case, agreement on deploying VOMS PT rpms on CNAF/ CERN testbed.

-- DaniloDongiovanni - 01-Oct-2010

---

This topic: EMI > Minutes10012010

Topic revision: r2 - 2010-10-04 - BjornHagemeierExCern



Copyright &© 2008-2021 by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.  
or Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? use [Discourse](#) or [Send feedback](#)