

ReviewDJRA133PO < EMI < TWiki

p8 Exec Summary

The recommendation from the reviewers stated as "An alternative approach, starting from the perspective of the reviewers, these may be considered by the EMI management for any changes to the work plan.

Would adding a summary of the initial findings related to middleware based on the TEG report [1]

[1] http://rwartel.web.cern.ch/rwartel/security_teg/WLCG%20Risk%20Assessment.pdf

[2] <https://documents.egi.eu/public/RetrieveFile?docid=863&version=17&filename=EGI-InSPIRE-D4.4-f>

p8 Executive Summary

There are cases where the schedule has fallen behind due to circumstances beyond the control of the project.

p14 Status of AAI

The workload involved in

migrating the build (within the ETICS system) of other critical components (in this case Argus) has again been underestimated. As the overall build priorities within the project have not been changing, the developers earmarked for STS development are still solving build system problems.

p29 Port products to additional platforms

The continued risk foreseen for this objective is the observation that, similar to the experience with the release of EMI-1, porting to other platforms on the current build system is non-trivial. Developers scheduled to start other work are delayed due to overrunning porting tasks.

These statements are difficult to defend/justify with EC reviewers and can lead to misunderstandings.

We have been asked to include more technical information in the deliverables. I know this is may be difficult.

EMI Common XACML Authorization Profile v.1.1

Common Virtual Organization Attribute Profile version 1.0

Other security-area diagrams/tech specs we can include?

-- FloridaEstrella - 04-May-2012

This topic: EMI > ReviewDJRA133PO

Topic revision: r1 - 2012-05-04 - unknown



Copyright &© 2008-2020 by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.

Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? Send feedback