

Table of Contents

SA2 Deliverable Review Form.....	1
General comments.....	1
Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work).....	1
Detailed comments on the content.....	1

SA2 Deliverable Review Form

Identification of the deliverable or milestone	
Project: EMI	Deliverable or milestone identifier: D5.7.2
Title: DJRA1.7.2 - Software Development Quality Control Report	Doc. identifier: DJRA1.7.2-TOC.pdf
Author(s): A. Ceccanti	Due date: 190411

Identification of the reviewer		
Name: M. Alandes	Affiliation: CERN	EMI Activity/External project or Institute: SA2

Review date	mm/dd/yyyy
Author(s) revision date	mm/dd/yyyy
Reviewer acceptance date	mm/dd/yyyy

Attach the reviewed document to the deliverable page, put here a link

General comments

ToC comments ToC comments

I've checked the TOC and I don't agree with section 3.

3. THE QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS 3.1. POLICIES 3.2. PRODUCTION RELEASE CRITERIA
3.3. TOOLS AND IMPLEMENTATION

This is all related to SA2. The SQA process is already covered in the SQAP and previous QA report. The QA report I'm working on will talk about the changes in the Policies and the Production Release Criteria. I simply think that this is out of the scope of JRA1 QC. I think you can report about the way you collaborate with SA2 but you should not include areas that are not within the scope of your activity. Moreover this report should contain a status of the QC activity after the first year and the plans for the second year.

I therefore suggest section 3 is removed and section 4 contains also the plans for next year. -- MariaAlandes - 19-Apr-2011

Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work)

Detailed comments on the content

Note 1: The reviewers must list here any observation they want to track explicitly and that require interaction with the authors

Alternatively all changes must be listed in the document itself using Word change tracking features (if you use Word)

Note 2: These comments have to be explicitly addressed by the authors and the action taken must be clearly described

N°	Page	Section	Observations and Replies	Is Addressed?
1	xx	x.y	Sequence of comments and replies separated by twiki signature and date	
2				
3				
4				

Any other modification, spelling or grammatical corrections, etc must be done directly in the document using tracked changes or similar mechanisms that allows the authors to identify which correction is suggested.

-- FloridaEstrella - 20-Apr-2011

This topic: EMI > ReviewDJRA172SA2

Topic revision: r2 - 2011-05-06 - unknown



Copyright &© 2008-2021 by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.

or Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? use [Discourse](#) or [Send feedback](#)