

Table of Contents

NA2 Deliverable Review Form.....	1
General comments.....	1
Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work).....	1
Detailed comments on the content.....	1

NA2 Deliverable Review Form

Identification of the deliverable or milestone	
Project: EMI	Deliverable or milestone identifier: DNA1.3.2
Title: DNA1.3.2 - Technical Development Plan	Doc. identifier: EMI-DXXX-CDSREF-Title-vx.x
Author(s): B. Konya	Due date: __

Identification of the reviewer		
Name: D. Cresti	Affiliation: INFN	EMI Activity/External project or Institute: NA2

Review date	25/05/2011
Author(s) revision date	26/05/2011
Reviewer acceptance date	??/05/2011

Attach the reviewed document to the deliverable page, put here a link

General comments

Very good document, which again, should be publicized more widely. It would be easier for the reader, and more interesting, if it contained stronger tie-ins with DNA1.3.1. See comments.

BK: Than you for the positive words.

Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work)

We should think of publishing parts of this deliverable in an easy to access place.

BK: This is something NA2 should pick up.

Detailed comments on the content

Note 1: The reviewers must list here any observation they want to track explicitly and that require interaction with the authors

Alternatively all changes must be listed in the document itself using Word change tracking features (if you use Word)

Note 2: These comments have to be explicitly addressed by the authors and the action taken must be clearly described

N°	Page	Section	Observations and Replies	Is Addressed?
1	5	1.1	I would make explicit reference to DNA1.3.1 and the fact that this document updates the plan as outlined in this document. BK: Right after the first sentence of the section i added the following explicit reference to the firts version of the tech plan: ". The document is an update of the plan first outlined in the previous version of the deliverable (DNA1.3.1). "	?
2	9	2	Add some considerations on how the technical plan has evolved since DNA1.3.1; what aspects of that document have remained solid, any important changes, any lessons learned. BK: Added a complete paragraph explaining the changes between DNA1.3.1 and DNA1.3.2. See 2nd paragraph of the Executive Summary.	?

3	16	4	<p>Is it possible to highlight in the product table any important deviations from the plan in the DNA1.3.1 component table - e.g. with a shaded cell; or changes in status with respect to the earlier table. I do see some products were phased out. A few high-level considerations comparing the two tables would be good; if the comparison between tables shows overall progress against objectives, say so - e.g. the plan in DNA1.3.1 was well thought-out and the current product table can be compared to the earlier component table to see progress that has been made.</p> <p>BK: The product table is already quite a complex large table. My purpose with this table was to make it as precise reference table as possible, serve as the current definition of EMI stack. Adding info about changes compared to the DNA1.3.1 would make things very difficult. There was quite some restructuring of components (now products) and product teams, therefore it is almost impossible to add comparison without introducing some sort of special look-up table. Adding a comparison of the DNA1.3.1 and DNA1.3.2 component tables is by far non trivial and would require quite some time and at the end it is not really useful at all.</p>	?
4	23	5.1	<p>"The EMI products, many of them to be available as grid appliances deployable in cloud environments,..." please provide a reference to justify this statement.</p> <p>BK: The quoted statement is part of the "EMI vision", the details of that vision concerning the deployable grid appliances will be presented in the EMI Cloud strategy document due in M18. I don't know what kind of reference i can give about a vision.</p>	?
5	23	5.1	<p>"The second development phase, EMI-2, will complete the work on consolidation plans already started and unfortunately not concluded in year 1..." I would change this to "The second development phase, EMI-2, will complete the work on any consolidation plans already started and not concluded in year 1..." (remove "unfortunately")</p> <p>BK: The proposed change is implemented in the text .</p>	?
6	34	6	<p>"This deliverable is the second revision of the technical plan..." Please remind the reader what other revision preceded this. I thought DNA1.3.1 was the technical plan and DNA1.3.2 is the first revision of this plan.</p> <p>BK: You are right, DNA1.3.2 is the first revision of the plan and not the second. The mistake is corrected.</p>	?

Any other modification, spelling or grammatical corrections, etc must be done directly in the document using tracked changes or similar mechanisms that allows the authors to identify which correction is suggested.

-- FloridaEstrella - 06-May-2011

This topic: EMI > ReviewDNA132NA2

Topic revision: r4 - 2011-05-26 - BalazsKonya



Copyright &© 2008-2021 by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.

or Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? use Discourse or Send feedback