

Table of Contents

SA1 Deliverable Review Form.....	1
General comments.....	1
Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work).....	1
Detailed comments on the content.....	1

SA1 Deliverable Review Form

Identification of the deliverable or milestone	
Project: EMI	Deliverable or milestone identifier: D4.3.2
Title: DSA2.3.2 - Periodic QA Reports	Doc. identifier: EMI-DXXX-CDSREF-Title-vx.x
Author(s): M. Alandes	Due date: __

Identification of the reviewer		
Name: C. Cacciari	Affiliation: CINECA	EMI Activity/External project or Institute: SA1

Review date	05/26/2011
Author(s) revision date	mm/dd/yyyy
Reviewer acceptance date	mm/dd/yyyy

Attach the reviewed document to the deliverable page, put here a link

General comments

Additional recommendations (not affecting the document content, e.g. recommendation for future work)

It don't seem to emerge clearly the impact of the various QA processes in case of negative deviations or their benefits. But I understand that this is not really possible for EMI-1, so I put this comment in this section as a recommendation for the future. For example it would be nice to have some trend graphs about the metric improvements, and a table which summarizes the actions taken as consequences of negative deviations. However those data are not only part of QA, but also of Project Management and they could also be included in one of the management deliverables.

Maria: I agree and I have put a comment in section 7 (Metrics) to explain that QA plans to use metrics reports after the improvement exercise so that if necessary, corrective actions can be proposed by SA2. This is also added as a recommendation in section 8 (Recommendations).

Detailed comments on the content

Note 1: The reviewers must list here any observation they want to track explicitly and that require interaction with the authors

Alternatively all changes must be listed in the document itself using Word change tracking features (if you use Word)

Note 2: These comments have to be explicitly addressed by the authors and the action taken must be clearly described

N°	Page	Section	Observations and Replies	Is Addressed?
1	9	3	I would put the word "policies" between double apexes	YES
2	26	9	maybe: SA2 considers normal that the situation has not been very stable during EMI 1	YES
3	26	9	maybe: We really do not know for EMI -1 which of the technical objectives	YES
4				

Any other modification, spelling or grammatical corrections, etc must be done directly in the document using tracked changes or similar mechanisms that allows the authors to identify which correction is suggested.

-- FloridaEstrella - 06-May-2011

This topic: EMI > ReviewDSA232SA1

Topic revision: r5 - 2011-05-26 - MariaALANDESPRADILLO



Copyright &© 2008-2022 by the contributing authors. All material on this collaboration platform is the property of the contributing authors.

or Ideas, requests, problems regarding TWiki? use [Discourse](#) or [Send feedback](#)