

EMI Security Architecture.

John White (for the EMI Security Task)


EMI INFSO-RI-261611

EMI All-Hands meeting, Nov 23rd 2010, Prague

Introduction

- ▶ **Security Architecture**
- ▶ What does Security “Architecture” mean?
- ▶ Not a rigid diagram of services.
- ▶ A collection of recommendations for middleware.
- ▶ Agreements that come from the working groups.
 - ▶ **Common Authentication Libraries.**
 - ▶ **Common Attribute Service with common profile.**
 - ▶ **Common Authorization system.**
 - ▶ **Common XACML profile for CEs.**
 - ▶ **Common delegation method.**
 - ▶ **Flexible AAI user interface.**

Security Overview



Common Authentication Libraries

All EMI components will be expected to use the common authentication libraries provided by the (to be formed) “AuthN lib” PT.

- ▶ Library form, languages and API determined by working group.
- ▶ Libraries primarily concerned with Authentication.
- ▶ APIs provided in C/C++ and Java.
- ▶ Agreement on the APIs has been reached at (or before) this meeting.

More information available at:

<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/>

→ `EmiJra1T4SecurityCommonAuthNLib`

VOMS-SAML


A common Attribute service will be used. VOMS-SAML.

- ▶ Agreed to replace UNICORE UVOS with VOMS-SAML.
- ▶ Same VOMS-SAML version for gLite/ARC.
- ▶ Common SAML profile for all EMI stack.
- ▶ VOMS-SAML will issue attributes.

More information available at:

<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiJra1T4SAML>

Argus AuthZ Service



Argus AuthZ Service

Argus will be taken as the common Authorization system.

- ▶ Starting with CEs.
- ▶ WMS and Data Management to follow.
- ▶ The common XACML profile will define the attributes passed.
 - ▶ Integration schedule to other components.
 - ▶ CE(s)
 - ▶ WMS
 - ▶ Data Management
 - ▶ What else? Bolt on to a OCCI interface?

Common XACML profile

As Argus will be the common AuthZ solution, a common XACML profile for CEs is needed.

- ▶ Define XACML attributes for each CE to identify:
 - ▶ Users. (DN, FQAN, VO, CA, key-info)
 - ▶ Resources. (URN, URI, free id)
 - ▶ Actions. (??)
- ▶ gLite CE profile acts as basis.
- ▶ Expanded/clarified with attribs from ARC/UNICORE.

More information available at:

<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiJra1T4XACML>

Delegation

EMI components that move proxies should use delegation.
Delegation provided should move to the GSI-free and same
WSDL.

- ▶ UNICORE, does not use proxies, uses ETD.
- ▶ ARC does not need delegation service.
- ▶ Where is delegation used?
 - ▶ gLite: WMS to CE.
 - ▶ gLite: FTS.
 - ▶ gLite: CREAM (exposes a WS for delegation).
 - ▶ ARC: Client to CE.
 - ▶ Other: gridFTP (this is a ???).
 - ▶ UNICORE: Does have a service, if needed.

Please see: <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/>
→ EmiJra1T6Standardization

AAI Interface

“Users should be able to access EMI resources easily.”

- ▶ Driven by requirements from users*.
- ▶ X.509 credentials passed for AuthN (and AuthZ*).
- ▶ SLCS, TCS or STS to issue credentials (from federated or not).

See the AAI report available at:

<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiJra1T4Securi>

Security Overview

