



Summary Discussions on LBS Line

14. March 2011

Thomas Hermanns

Thanks to

C. Carli, L. Hein, A. Lombardi, B. Mikulec, F. Regis, T. Zickler et al. for two very constructive meetings.



Summary



- Motivation: "Feasibility of cooling the LBS spectrometer magnet?"
 - First proposal New design of spectrometer magnet (φ=54° and h=100mm)
 - \triangleright Alternative Transfer Line (TL) bending magnet (φ =35° and h=50mm)
- Two meetings organised by C. Carli
 - > 18.02.2011 Focus on physical options
 - → http://cern.ch/carli/PSBwithLinac4/Meeting11_02_18/Minutes11_02_18.html
 - > 11.03.2011 Slit and dump (engineering, RP, CE), follow-ups on physical options
 - → Minutes will be published soon
- ⇒ Four classes of scenarios for LBS line

	Slit	Spectrometer	Quadrupole	Dump
1	yes	new	no	reduced
2	yes	TL	no	reduced
3	no	new	yes	full
4	no	TL	yes	full



Summary II



- Scenarios studied regarding reconstruction of mean energy and energy spread
 - No physical justification to favour one scenario
 - Energy spread resolution of ±10% acceptable PSB injection
- ◆ ⇒ Assessment of dump design issues
 - Design, thermodynamics, engineering
 - Involvement of RP
 - Participation of civil engineering (for dump installation in ceiling or in wall to PSB)
- ◆ First ideas on slit design from an engineering/resource point of view
 - More input required
- Heavy ion option for LBS line always taken as constraint



Conclusions



- TL bending magnet allows for sufficient measurement precision
 - Integration study for LBS/BI/LBE region required
- Continue slit studies (to same extent as dump) to compare two packages
 - Slit and reduced dump ⇔ no slit and full dump

	Slit	Spectrometer	Quadrupole	Dump
1	yes	new	no	reduced
2	yes	TL	no	reduced
3	no	new	yes	full
4	no	TL	yes	full

- ⇒ Goal: Presentation on future L4BCC meeting to provide all information
 - > Physics, engineering, RP, integration, civil engineering, costing,
 - > Time scale about two months
- => Evaluation and decide to advance with which scenario