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Abstract

The Short Strip ASIC (SSA) is one of the four front-end chips designed for the upgrade of the CMS
Outer Tracker for the High Luminosity LHC. Together with a Macro-Pixel ASIC (MPA) it will in-
strument modules containing a strip and a macro-pixel sensor stacked on top of each other. The SSA
provides both full readout of the strip hit information when triggered, and together with the MPA,
correlated clusters (stubs) from the two sensors for use by the CMS high level trigger system. Results
from the first prototype module consisting of a sensor and two SSA chips are presented. The prototype
module has been characterized at the Fermilab Test Beam Facility using a 120 GeV proton beam. The
obtained performance satisfies CMS requirements.
1 Introduction

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will be upgraded to operate at increased instantaneous luminosities of up to $7.5 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2}/\text{s}$, which will result in up to 200 proton-proton interactions per bunch crossing, with the goal of accumulating a total integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ [1]. The CMS detector [2] will be upgraded [3, 4] to handle these new data-taking conditions. The current CMS trigger system [5] consists of the Level-1 trigger (L1), instrumented by custom hardware processor boards, and a software high level trigger (HLT). The current L1 receives information from detector sub-systems generating an initial selection within a fixed latency of 4 $\mu$s, with a maximum output rate of 100 kHz. When an event triggers the L1, the detector is fully read out to the HLT, where a final decision is made on whether to record the event. The new L1 trigger system will allow a latency of up to 12.5 $\mu$s and be capable of sustaining an accept rate of 750 kHz.

The entire CMS silicon tracker will need to be replaced for the High Luminosity LHC era. The current tracker [2, 6], composed of pixel and strip tracking systems, will be replaced by two related detectors: the Inner Tracker (IT), occupying the volume within a radius of 20 cm from the beam line, and the Outer Tracker (OT), shown in Fig. 1. This new tracker will have increased radiation tolerance, with the innermost layer of the IT (OT) able to handle the expected 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence of $2.3 \times 10^{16} (9.6 \times 10^{14}) \text{n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$, for a total expected ionizing dose of 12 (0.56) MGy. In addition, the new tracker will have increased granularity to ensure efficient tracking performance despite the higher particle rates and densities. The OT will use two types of modules (2S and PS), each consisting of two silicon sensors forming closely spaced parallel planes. Correlated clusters from high transverse momentum tracks are identified using on-chip logic and form Level-1 trigger primitives (stubs), as shown in Fig. 2.

The 2S modules, positioned at outer radii where the particle occupancy is lower, are comprised of two strip sensors read out by a CBC3 chip described in Ref. [7, 8]. The PS modules, shown in Fig. 3, are situated closer to the beam and consist of macro-pixel (100 $\mu$m pitch $\times$ 1460 $\mu$m length) and short strip (100 $\mu$m pitch $\times$ 25 mm length) sensors. The Macro-Pixel ASICs (MPA) [9] are bump-bonded to the former, while the latter is wire-bonded to a hybrid and is read out by the Short Strip ASIC (SSA) [10, 11] bump-bonded to the same hybrid. A module is read out by two times eight SSAs and MPAs, with the Concentrator Integrated Circuit (CIC) [12] responsible for aggregating their returned data. The LpGBT [13] distributes clock, trigger and control signals to the eight SSAs and eight MPAs on each side of the module. The SSA is also capable of flagging hits from large signals from Highly Ionizing Particles (HIPs) by discriminating the hit amplitude against a programmable threshold. This information will be used as a flag to indicate that the energy loss $dE/dx$ of a particle is consistent with a highly ionizing particle. This flag can be combined with data from other sub-detectors in CMS to increase the sensitivity of analyses seeking to identify such particles. The SSA also performs strip hit clustering and transmits the clusters to the MPA to be used for stub formation.

This paper describes the first prototype detector module consisting of a sensor with the same strip configuration as in the final detector and two SSA chips. The module was built in 2019 and tested in January 2020 at the Fermilab Test Beam Facility, with the primary goal of verifying the chip front-end performance.

The SSA chip, the $2 \times$ SSA module under test and the experimental setup at the test beam facility. A simulation of the expected performance of the module is described, as is the procedure by which this model was tuned. Finally, the collected data at different incident angles and its compatibility with the simulation is reported for measurements of the module timing, hit reconstruction efficiency, cluster size and resolution.
Figure 1: Sketch of one quarter of the Outer Tracker in the longitudinal view. The CMS coordinate system is centered on the nominal collision point. The $z$ direction points along the beam axis. The polar angle measured with respect to the $z$ direction is denoted by $\theta$, though the pseudorapidity $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$ is often used instead. PS modules are indicated in blue, 2S modules in red. The two barrel sub-detectors (TB2S and TBPS), and one endcap (TEDD) are shown.

Figure 2: Diagram of stub formation in the PS module: high momentum particles (left) are less affected by the 3.8 T magnetic field created by the CMS solenoid than a low momentum particle (right), whose trajectory is significantly bent by the field. Simultaneous hits (or clusters of hits) recorded by the MPA and SSA only form a stub if the hits in the SSA are within some fiducial region (shown in blue) of the short strip sensor, seeded by the location of the hit in the macro-pixel sensor. Conceptually, the stub formation in the 2S modules is similar to that for the PS shown here.
Figure 3: (Top) Drawing of the exploded view of the PS module. Key components are: the strip sensor (yellow), MPAs (grey) and the pixel sensor in the central part of the module. The strip sensor is wire-bonded to a hybrid and is read out by the SSAs, which are bump-bonded to the same hybrid. (Bottom) Drawing showing the cross-sectional view of a PS module, including wire-bond connections, supporting structures and the CIC which aggregates the returned data from the SSAs and MPAs.
2 The Short Strip ASIC

The SSA, fabricated in 65 nm CMOS technology, is described in detail in Ref. [10]. Each SSA chip can read out 120 channels from a short strip sensor. After amplification and signal shaping in the analog front-end, signals are discriminated with a double threshold binary system: one for the L1 hit data (detection threshold) and one for the HIP flagging (HIP threshold). These thresholds can be programmed. Typical thresholds are designed to detect hits with energies of 1/4 of the minimum ionizing particle (MIP) energy (a signal of approximately 4500 e\textsuperscript{−} for the strip sensor) for the detection threshold, and 1.4 MIPs (approximately 25k e\textsuperscript{−}) for the HIP threshold. Both signals are digitized with an edge sensitive circuit sampled at 40 MHz. In order to maximize the hit detection efficiency, the sampling clock phase is adjustable in steps of 200 ps across the full 25 ns bunch crossing period. The hits are transmitted via two data paths: the stub data path which carries up to eight centroids (either the position of single hits or the geometric center of a cluster of neighboring hits) which are passed to the MPA in the PS module for momentum discrimination, and the L1 path, which stores the entire sensor image until it is requested by the trigger system, with latencies of up to 12.8 \( \mu \)s. In addition, the eight leftmost and rightmost strip signals can be transmitted to adjacent SSAs using so called “lateral” lines (allowing the PS module to deal with clusters whose hits are recorded on two adjacent SSAs).

All results in this paper are based on the triggered (L1) data path. A data-flow diagram for the SSA and MPA combination, as intended for use in the full PS module, is shown in Fig. 4.

![Data-flow diagram for the PS module, showing both the SSA and MPA readout functionality.](image)

3 Experimental setup

Validation of the PS module, including studies of the synchronization, efficiency and resolution, are being performed on various prototypes which seek to isolate the performance of individual ASICs. In the case of the SSA, the device under test (DUT) is referred to as the 2×SSA module, and consists of a strip sensor connected to two SSA chips. This module was tested in a proton beam where the trajectories of the incoming protons were measured and exploited to characterize the behavior of the 2×SSA module.
3.1 2×SSA Module

The DUT (shown in Fig. 5) consists of a single, 240 channel n-in-p Float Zone silicon sensor [14] with each channel wire-bonded to one of two sapphire interposers which were in turn each bump-bonded to an SSA chip (mimicking the eventual configuration in the PS module). Each sensor strip has a pitch of 100 µm and length of 23 mm. The active sensor thickness is 240 µm, with minimal additional physical thickness (1 µm). The sensor is read out by two SSAs, with the boundary between the 120 channels connected to each chip located at the center of the sensor. To ensure full depletion of the silicon, the sensor was biased to −350 V for all results presented here. The thresholds were set to 6200 e− (unless otherwise indicated). Each chip’s lateral data lines are connected to the other chip; this feature was used to verify the functionality of these lateral lines, but is not used in any aspect of the data processing from this beam test.

Figure 5: (Top) A close-up picture of the DUT. From right to left: The silicon sensor (large gray square), wire-bonds connecting it to the interposers, the SSA chips (two small dark rectangles), wire-bonds connecting the interposer to the printed circuit board. The interposers are located beneath each SSA, and are not visible in this image. (Bottom) A diagram of the 2×SSA Module. The SSAs are bump-bonded (PbSn) to the sapphire interposers, which are in turn wire-bonded to the strip sensor channels and the printed circuit board (PCB). The prototype module has a similar configuration to how the eventual PS modules will be constructed. Power, communication and data lines are passed to the SSAs from the PCB through the wire-bonds and bump-bonds. High voltage to bias the sensor is transmitted through the PCB.

Due to process variations in the lithography of the ASICs, individual channels of the front-ends may respond differently for a nominal threshold setting. To account for this, every SSA channel’s detector discriminator threshold is individually adjustable with a configurable offset. To ensure an even response across all channels, these are tuned using a known signal: calibration pulses from the discharge of on-chip 52 fF capacitors on each channel. The DC level of this calibration line is controllable, and can be tuned against an external reference to ensure the same charge is delivered to each channel. This tuning mechanism is not available for the HIP thresholds.

3.2 Test Beam Parameters

The Fermilab Test Beam Facility receives a beam of protons at an energy of 120 GeV from the Fermilab Main Injector. These protons are delivered in a long spill of 4.2 seconds, once per minute, and with between 100 000 and 200 000 particles per spill. A picture of the experimental setup with the DUT, as well as the relevant coordinate system, is shown in Fig. 6. The test beam
facility is equipped with an all silicon tracking telescope [15] to precisely measure the paths of incoming particles with an impact resolution of less than 15 µm at the DUT. The telescope is composed of seven silicon strip planes, alternating between horizontal and vertical orientation, with a strip pitch of 60 µm and four silicon pixel planes composed of 100 µm × 150 µm pixels. It covers an active area of approximately 1.4 cm × 1.4 cm at the DUT. An approximately 40 MHz clock, common to both the DUT and telescope, is obtained by multiplying the accelerator clock of 53 MHz by a factor of $\frac{3}{4}$ (for a true clock rate of 39.75 MHz with 25.15 ns cycles). This is done so that the phase between the beam, telescope and DUT clocks remains fixed.

The telescope and DUT are simultaneously triggered by a scintillator detector with a time resolution of a few hundred picoseconds. When triggered the telescope outputs full three-dimensional information on all tracks in a given clock cycle. During the beam test, the DUT, with strips oriented vertically ($y$), was placed down-stream of the first four strip planes, followed by a further three strip and four pixel planes. This configuration places the DUT amongst the strip planes, which have the better resolution. The DUT was fixed to a table which can move along and rotate about the $x$ and $y$ axes.

3.3 Data Acquisition

The DUT’s data acquisition system is built around the FC7 card [16], a specialized µTCA compatible Advanced Mezzanine Card (AMC) equipped with a Kintex 7 FPGA. The FC7 communicates with both chips through a custom FPGA Mezzanine Card (FMC). The DUT is electrically connected to the FMC via a custom made interface board, which also relays power to the DUT. Commands are sent through the interface board to the DUT via the I2C protocol and through a dedicated fast command line which sends the trigger accept signals. The module send signals to the FC7 through a total of seventeen SLVS lines. Fifteen of these lines are for the cluster centroids which are sent on each DUT clock cycle (the sixteenth line is left unconnected due to insufficient connections on the interface card). The remaining two lines are for the L1 data of each chip, and only send data when the DUT is triggered. The triggers and the shared clock are input to the FC7 with a second FMC, and passed on to the DUT. The FPGA firmware implementation is specific to the 2×SSA module, and is controlled and read out by the Phase 2 Acquisition and Control Framework (Ph2 ACF) [17, 18] developed for the Outer Tracker Upgrade program.

The telescope is controlled and read out using the “Off the Shelf” data acquisition program (OTSDAQ), which is based on artdaq [19] and is maintained by Fermilab. The data from both the telescope and DUT are processed with the Monicelli software package for track reconstruction and alignment [15]. The online efficiency measurements used to align the DUT with the beam and telescope were measured by Monicelli, and may differ slightly from the efficiency measured offline, due to the sensitivity of the efficiency measurement to the exact position of the sensor boundaries.

4 Simulations

In Section 5 we compare the performance of the DUT measured at the test beam to a simple model of the sensor whose parameters are fitted to the data to improve the quantitative understanding of the observations. The goal of the model is to predict the amount of charge collected in each strip of the sensor for different interstrip positions ($x$) and incident angles ($\theta$) of the incoming protons. The parameters of the model are fit to the measurements of the efficiencies and cluster sizes for different horizontal angles. The model assumes an effective depleted region of $d_{\text{eff}} = \min(d_0, d_0 \sqrt{U_h/U_d})$, with a sensor thickness $d_0 = 240 \mu$m, a depletion voltage
$U_d = 250$ V, and supply voltage $U_h$. The probabilistic distribution of electron-hole pairs produced by a proton entering the silicon sensor is assumed to follow a Landau distribution [20], and is modeled in the simulation with an approximation as described in Ref. [21]. The most probable value ($\mu$) and width ($\sigma$) parameters of the distribution are considered free parameters of the model. The charge is increased to $\mu \times 1 / \cos \theta$ to account for the incident angle. If the proton crosses multiple strips, the charge is divided between the two strips proportional to the distance traversed in each strip. Two additional effects are taken into account: diffusion of charge from one strip to another, and capacitive coupling between the strips. In an area close to the edges between two strips charges may diffuse across the strip boundaries. The fraction of the charge $\Delta Q$ that is lost along a path element $\Delta s$ and observed in the adjacent strip increases as the position approaches the edge. This fraction is described by the integral function of a Gaussian distribution (the Erf). Its width $\sigma_{\text{diff}}$ describes the size of the diffusion region, and is a free parameter of the model. The effect of induced signals in strips adjacent to the actual traversed strip due to the capacitive coupling between strips (charge sharing) is simulated by adding a certain fraction of the simulated charge in the traversed strip to the adjacent strip (and subtracting it from the traversed strip). This fraction $f_x$ is another parameter of the model. Finally, after the charges are calculated in all strips they are modulated by a Gaussian distribution to simulate the combined noise from the SSAs and sensor. The noise was measured after the DUT was installed at the Test Beam Facility, prior to receiving beam, and is found to be about $830$ $e^-$. Taking all these components, the total charge collected by a strip A, when the sensor is traversed by the proton along a path $S$ which also crosses strip B is given by:

$$Q_{\text{tot,A}} \approx (1 - f_x) \int_S \frac{\{L\}}{S} \text{Erf}\left(\frac{x - x_e}{\sqrt{2\sigma_{\text{diff}}}}\right) ds + f_x \int_S \frac{\{L\}}{S} \text{Erf}\left(\frac{x_e - x}{\sqrt{2\sigma_{\text{diff}}}}\right) ds + \{N\}$$

(1)

where $\{L\}$ is sampled from the Landau distribution with most probable value ($\mu$) and width ($\sigma$), and $x_e$ is the position of the boundary between strips A and B. The noise $\{N\}$ is sampled from a Gaussian distribution with a mean of 0 and a width $830$ $e^-$. Additional terms could be
Table 1: Parameters and their uncertainties of the simple model obtained from a fit of the measurements of the efficiencies and cluster sizes for different horizontal angles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landau $\mu$</td>
<td>$(20.6 \pm 0.2) ke^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landau $\sigma$</td>
<td>$(1.8 \pm 0.1) ke^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{diff}}$</td>
<td>$(2.8 \pm 0.5) \mu m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_x$</td>
<td>$(9.3 \pm 0.2)%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

added for other adjacent strips, but these effects are negligible.

The four extracted parameters are summarized in Tab. 1. Notably, the post-fit value of $\mu$ is $20,600 e^-$, close to the expected value of 19,000 for a 120 GeV proton in 240 $\mu$m of silicon.

5 Analysis

5.1 Hit clustering

Many of the subsequent analyses use clusters, which are formed by contiguous hits in multiple adjacent strips. The position of a cluster is calculated as the average position of the constituent strips. The studies presented in this paper use clusters reconstructed after data-taking from the L1 hit outputs of the DUT. This allows the analysis to consider the number of strips in each cluster, rather than just the positions output by the SSAs’ stub data path. With this definition, cluster positions can be in the middle of two strips. The centroids from the stub data path were verified to be in agreement with this offline reconstruction. Under default settings, i.e., a threshold of 6200 $e^-$ (1 fC), a sensor bias voltage $U_h = -350 V$, and all rotational angles at zero, 91% of the clusters consist of a single strip and 7% of two strips. The distributions of number of clusters $N_{cl}$ as a function of the cluster size for three different rotational angles $\alpha$ are shown in Fig. 7, and we see that the average cluster size increases for higher angles.

![Figure 7: Number of clusters $N_{cl}$ as function of the cluster size (number of strips) for three horizontal rotational angles $\alpha$. Each distribution is normalized to unity.](image-url)
5.2 Alignment

The first step of the analysis is the calibration of the position and orientation of the DUT with respect to the track trajectories determined by the beam telescope. The goal of this alignment is the calculation of the particle track positions at the surface of the DUT and, consequently, the identification of tracks that do not traverse the sensor of the DUT.

Six parameters are considered in the alignment. There are the transverse horizontal $x$ and vertical $y$ positions of the origin of the DUT reference frame at the upper left corner of the sensor surface, and its $z$ position parallel to the beam. In addition, there are three rotation angles of the DUT reference frame: a rotation angle $\gamma$ around the axis in $z$ direction; the horizontal rotation angle $\alpha$ around the $y$ axis; and finally the vertical rotation angle $\beta$ around the $x$ axis (all angles are shown in Fig. 6). These parameters are initialized with reasonable values obtained from the experimental settings and then determined precisely using the tracks from the beam telescope as follows. We calculate the residual between a track (modeled as a straight line) and the closest cluster centroid. The strips are defined by the pitch and their length by the dimensions of the sensor. The sum of these distances squared is minimized for each configuration of the DUT. It is obvious that the information of the strips is not sufficient to constrain all parameters necessary for an absolute three-dimensional positioning of the DUT. The vertical rotation $\beta$ cannot be obtained from the information of the strips. The $y$ position (in the DUT reference frame) can only be constrained if the beam partially crosses the upper or lower edge of the DUT surface. Since the tracks are almost parallel to the beam, the $z$ position becomes arbitrary. As the results presented below have no dependence on these parameters, they can safely be ignored.

In Fig. 8 the residuals of the track positions at the DUT (after the alignment procedure) and the cluster centroid ($\Delta x$) are shown as a function of the $y$ position measured with the telescope. Since there is no dependence of $\Delta x$ as a function of the $y$ position, this confirms a successful alignment of the rotation angle $\gamma$ around $z$.

Figure 8: Distribution of the residuals ($\Delta x$) between track position and closest cluster centroid as a function of $y$ position measured by the telescope, for each event. The residual distribution has no dependence on the vertical position $y$, confirming a successful alignment. The average value of the residuals as a function of the $y$ position is shown in red.
5.3 Timing efficiency

The SSA stores the full hit information for each clock cycle in an internal buffer for up to 12.8 $\mu$s. Within this period a trigger decision should be made if the full hit data is to be read out and stored. Since the processing and distribution of the trigger signal to the DUT takes some time (latency) the correct corresponding entry from the DUT’s internal buffer must be selected. In addition, since the charge produced in a strip is not collected instantaneously, the arrival time of the proton within a clock cycle can affect the reconstruction efficiency. In the extreme case, this charge is split between two clock cycles, and neither reaches the threshold needed to indicate a hit. The effect is especially pronounced when the charge is already shared between multiple strips. To minimize this effect, the SSAs can be programmed to delay the trigger arrival time by increments of 3.125 ns. In Fig. 9 the efficiency of observing a reconstructed track in coincidence with a cluster in the DUT is shown as function of this timing offset. For the calculation of the efficiencies, here and elsewhere in this paper, only tracks that point towards the detector surface according to the alignment are considered. The scan is performed for a detection threshold of 4800 $e^-$, an operating point with high signal efficiency and low noise (as will be discussed in Section 5.4). The efficiency has a wide plateau and reaches 99.75%. In Fig. 10 the ratio of the number of clusters with two or more hits $N_{cl}^{\geq 1}$ with respect to all clusters is shown.

![Figure 9: Efficiency as function of the delay of the trigger for a detection threshold of 4800 $e^−$. The chosen working point is indicated by the dashed vertical line.](image)

For all the studies in this paper the timing was fixed at the indicated working point, although it has slightly sub-optimal efficiency. The working point was chosen to maximize efficiency and cluster size based on results from online measurements made during data-taking. This online calculation, which uses a less precise geometric alignment of the DUT, inconsistently rejected tracks just outside the actual sensor boundary from being considered when computing the efficiency.

5.4 Efficiency and cluster size

The efficiency of the module is measured with respect to telescope tracks which point to the sensor, and hits are counted as a matched if they are within $\Delta x = 200 \mu m$ of the telescope track. Clusters not associated with a track are discarded. The efficiencies and the cluster sizes...
are determined as functions of the detection threshold value $Q$, and the horizontal rotation angle $\alpha$. The alignment is repeated each time the DUT is rotated so that only telescope tracks crossing the sensor in its new position are considered in efficiency calculations. The results are shown in Fig. 11. The efficiency plateaus at 99.7\% for detection thresholds up to 8000 e$^{-}$. For higher thresholds the efficiency drops with a dependence on the particle angle of incidence. This behavior is expected as charge is distributed among several strips at oblique angles, so that the signals on individual strips are reduced and do not reach the threshold. The increased fraction of multi-strip clusters at higher angles is confirmed by a measurement of cluster sizes as a function of the threshold. The fraction of clusters with two or more hits ($N_{cl}^{-1}/N_{cl}$) is always reduced for an increased threshold.

The simple model described in Section 4 is fitted to the measured efficiencies and the cluster sizes as functions of the threshold and rotation angle $\alpha$. The parameters can be found in Tab. 1. A decent qualitative description can be reached based on this simple model.
For each cluster the SSA provides additional information when the signal of at least one constituent hit exceeds a second higher HIP threshold. The selection performance of this second threshold and a comparison to the detection threshold is shown in Fig. 12. Overall, the HIP threshold behaves similarly to the main discriminator, though we observe a slightly lower efficiency at a given value of $Q$.

![Figure 12: Comparison of the efficiencies as functions of the detection threshold (filled dots) and the HIP threshold (open crosses).](image)

### 5.5 Resolution

The resolution of the sensor is determined for various values of the angle $\alpha$. In Fig. 13 the residuals between the cluster position and the corresponding telescope track position are shown separately for one- and two-strip clusters. The resolution of the telescope (modeled as a Gaussian distribution) is extracted from the simple model by being fit across all angles. The resolution is found to be 14.7 $\mu$m, where this value corresponds to the resolution of the track position on the DUT when the sensor is parallel to the telescope planes ($\alpha = 0^\circ$). When the DUT is rotated the effective telescope resolution is modified by a factor of $1/\cos \alpha$. During this fit all other parameters of the simple model are fixed at their values determined in Section 5.4. The obtained predictions provide a good description of the data. In addition to this folded prediction, the unfolded resolutions are also shown, corresponding to the simulated intrinsic resolution of the sensor only.

At $\alpha = 0^\circ$ the two-strip clusters are concentrated in a narrow region (around 10 $\mu$m) between strip implant centers, mainly determined by diffusion effects. With an increasing angle the chance of traversing two strips becomes geometrically enhanced. Therefore, the fraction of two-strip clusters increases, but the resolution degrades due to the increased charge sharing. Conversely, one strip clusters are constrained to the center of the strips and their resolution is Improved.

In Fig. 14 the efficiency is shown as a function of the interstrip position on the DUT sensor, with the position determined using the telescope. Again, we show the convolved model, taking into account the stated telescope resolution of 14.7 $\mu$m, and the simulated efficiency when the effect of the telescope is removed. The efficiency when considering all clusters is flat across the
Figure 13: Residual between the telescope track and cluster positions for different angles $\alpha$: $0^\circ$ (top left), $3^\circ$ (top right), $8^\circ$ (bottom left) and $13^\circ$ (bottom right). The data, shown with statistical errors, are fitted with the model prediction (dashed lines) convolved with the telescope resolution (solid lines).
interstrip region and reaches 99.7%.

Figure 14: Distribution of the interstrip positions of the telescope tracks for different horizontal angles: 0° (top left), 3° (top right), 8° (bottom left) and 13° (bottom right). The data, shown with statistical errors, are fitted with the model prediction (dashed lines) convolved with the telescope resolution (solid lines). The border between two strips is at 0 μm, and the strip implants are centered at −50 μm and 50 μm.

6 Conclusions

The SSA is one of the four front-end chips designed for the High Luminosity Upgrade of the CMS Outer Tracker. The performance of a prototype module consisting of two SSA chips connected to a sensor was studied at the Fermilab Test Beam Facility using 120 GeV protons. This 2×SSA module was constructed to closely approximate the sensor-ASIC configuration which will be used in the full PS module, and these measurements constitute the first published results of the SSA performance using a particle beam. The performance of the module was tested at incident beam angles between 0° and 18°. The module is shown to be fully efficient at all angles, for the nominal particle detection thresholds, and the functionality of a second highly ionizing particle detecting threshold is confirmed. The results agree closely with a simple model of the protons interacting with the silicon strip sensor, including for protons passing near the boundaries between sensor strips where clusters consisting of multiple hits are more likely to occur. The compatibility of the data and model indicate that the performance satisfies CMS requirements, and along with previous studies of the SSA [22, 23], validates the ASIC’s front-end design, module prototype construction and the Ph2 ACF DAQ system. SSAs will next be used in the construction of two-sensor SSA+MPA modules for further tests of the PS module performance, in particular of the stub-formation logic.
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